

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/823,920	STEINBERG, ERAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gregory M. Desire	2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Gregory M. Desire.

(2) Applicant's representative John Demos.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative, regarding the patentability of claims 1 and 21. Examiner suggested adding limitation to claims 1 and 21, wherein the portable device is a cell phone, similar to claims 4 and 34. Applicant called back after contacting the client, agreed to the amendment. Also, applicant's representative wanted to amend claim 41 by deleting the placing on a monitor screen so that when a lab attendant picks up a phone, the job attendant has substantially immediate reference to the job. The examiner agreed to the requested amendment. These amendments will be done by examiner amendment. Also, regarding adding cell phone feature of claims 1 and 21, claims 4 and 34 are now cancelled and claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 16 depend on claim 1 and claim 35 depends on claim 21.